



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/715,368	11/19/2003	David Walter Flynn	550-489	9185
23117 7590 03/13/2008 NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203				
EXAMINER				
BROWN, MICHAEL J				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2116				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
03/13/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/715,368

Applicant(s)

FLYNN, DAVID WALTER

Examiner

Michael J. Brown

Art Unit

2116

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 November 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 19 November 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-85/86)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/17/07 and 2/1/08
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Inventor's Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on 10/17/2007 and 2/1/2008 were filed. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Continued Examination

2. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn and prosecution is reopened.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

3. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cooper(US Patent 6,823,516) in view of Tobias et al.(US Patent 7,254,721).

As to claim 1, Cooper discloses an apparatus(system 10, see Fig. 1) for processing data, said apparatus comprising a processor(processor 12, see Fig 1) operable to perform data processing operations, said processor being operable to generate a performance control signal indicative of a desired data processing performance level of said processor(see column 3, lines 19-27), and at least one further circuit(performance control logic 16, see Fig. 1) responsive to said performance control signal to support said desired data processing performance level of said processor(see column 5, lines 24-27). Cooper also discloses the apparatus wherein while responding to a change in performance control signal corresponding to a change from a first desired data processing performance level(low power state, see column 5, lines 35-36) to a second desired data processing performance level(high power state, see column 5, line 36). However, Cooper fails to specifically disclose the at least one further circuit supports data processing of said processor at at least one intermediate data processing performance level and said processor temporarily operates at said at least one intermediate data processing performance level during said change.

Tobias teaches a circuit(power management control logic 507, see Fig. 5) that supports data processing of a processor(processor 501, see Fig. 5) at at least one

intermediate data processing performance level(P2, P3, or P4; see Fig. 3) and said processor temporarily operates at said at least one intermediate data processing performance level during said change(see column 4, line 64- column 5, line 31). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add Tobias' power management control logic 507 to Cooper's system for adjusting CPU performance changes in order to maintain data processing capabilities as processor 12 changes from low power state to high power state, and vice versa. The motivation to do so would be to reduce the chance that any degradation is detected by a system user(see Tobias column 5, lines 40-41).

As to claim 2, Cooper discloses the apparatus wherein said one or more further circuits include a voltage controller operable to generate a power signal for said processor at a plurality of different voltage levels(see column 4, lines 56-61).

As to claim 3, Cooper discloses the apparatus wherein said one or more further circuits include a clock generator operable to generate a clock signal with a selectable clock frequency(see column 3, lines 52-60).

As to claim 4, Cooper discloses the apparatus wherein, in response to an increase in desired data processing performance level, said clock generator increases clock signal frequency to an intermediate clock signal frequency when said voltage controller is generating a power signal with a voltage level sufficient to support said intermediate clock signal frequency(see column 4, lines 15-34).

As to claim 5, Cooper discloses the apparatus wherein one or more priority signals(IGGNE#, A20M#, LINTO#, and LINT1#, see column 4, line 20) serve to trigger

said further circuit change to support a predetermined data processing performance level independently of said performance control signal(see column 4, lines 17-21).

As to claim 6, Cooper discloses a method of processing data, said method comprising the steps of performing data processing operations with a processor(processor 12, see Fig 1), said processor being operable to generate a performance control signal indicative of a desired data processing performance level of said processor(see column 3, lines 19-27), and in response to said performance control signal, operating one or more further circuits(performance control logic 16, see Fig. 1) so as to support said desired data processing performance level of said processor(see column 5, lines 24-27). Cooper also discloses the method wherein change in performance control signal corresponds to a change from a first desired data processing performance level(low power state, see column 5, lines 35-36) to a second desired data processing performance level(high power state, see column 5, line 36). However, Cooper fails to specifically disclose said operating step includes supporting data processing of the processor at at least one intermediate data processing performance level and said processor temporarily operates at said at least one intermediate data processing performance level during said change.

Tobias teaches supporting data processing of a processor (processor 501, see Fig. 5) at at least one intermediate data processing performance level(P2, P3, or P4; see Fig. 3) and said processor temporarily operates at said at least one data processing performance level during said change(see column 4, line 64- column 5, line 31). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to add Tobias' power management control logic 507 to Cooper's system for adjusting CPU performance changes in order to maintain data processing capabilities as processor 12 changes from low power state to high power state, and vice versa. The motivation to do so would be to reduce the chance that any degradation is detected by a system user(see Tobias column 5, lines 40-41).

As to claim 7, Cooper discloses the method wherein said one or more further circuits include a voltage controller operable to generate a power signal for said processor at a plurality of different voltage levels(see column 4, lines 56-61).

As to claim 8, Cooper discloses the method wherein said one or more further circuits include a clock generator operable to generate a clock signal with a selectable clock frequency(see column 3, lines 52-60).

As to claim 9, Cooper discloses the method wherein, in response to an increase in desired data processing performance level, said clock generator increases clock signal frequency to an intermediate clock signal frequency when said voltage controller is generating a power signal with a voltage level sufficient to support said intermediate clock signal frequency(see column 4, lines 15-34).

As to claim 10, Cooper discloses the method wherein one or more priority signals(IGGNE#, A20M#, LINT0#, and LINT1#, see column 4, line 20) serve to trigger said further circuit change to support a predetermined data processing performance level independently of said performance control signal(see column 4, lines 17-21).

As to claim 11, Cooper discloses an apparatus(system 10, see Fig. 1) for processing data, said apparatus comprising a processor(processor 12, see Fig 1)

operable to perform data processing operations, said processor being operable to generate a performance control signal indicative of a desired data processing performance level of said processor(see column 3, lines 19-27), and at least one further circuit(performance control logic 16, see Fig. 1) responsive to said performance control signal to support said desired data processing performance level of said processor(see column 5, lines 24-27). Cooper also discloses the apparatus wherein while responding to a change in performance control signal corresponding to a change from a first desired data processing performance level(low power state, see column 5, lines 35-36) to a second desired data processing performance level(high power state, see column 5, line 36). However, Cooper fails to specifically disclose the at least one further circuit comprising a means for supporting data processing of said processor at at least one intermediate data processing performance level and said processor temporarily operates at said at least one intermediate data processing performance level during said change.

Tobias teaches means(power management control logic 507, see Fig. 5) for supporting data processing of a processor(processor 501, see Fig. 5) at at least one intermediate data processing performance level(P2, P3, or P4; see Fig. 3) and said processor temporarily operates at said at least one intermediate data processing performance level during said change(see column 4, line 64- column 5, line 31). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add Tobias' power management control logic 507 to Cooper's system for adjusting CPU performance changes in order to maintain data processing capabilities

as processor 12 changes from low power state to high power state, and vice versa.

The motivation to do so would be to reduce the chance that any degradation is detected by a system user(see Tobias column 5, lines 40-41).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments, see Pre-Appeal Brief Request, filed 11/26/2007, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-11 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cooper(US Patent 6,823,516) in view of Kobayashi et al.(US Patent 6,148,415) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cooper(US Patent 6,823,516) in view of Tobias et al.(US Patent 7,254,721).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael J. Brown whose telephone number is (571)272-5932. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Thursday from 7:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rehana Perveen can be reached on (571)272-3676. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michael J. Brown
Art Unit 2116

/Rehana Perveen/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2116